

Understanding Influences on Grower Decision Making and Adoption of Nitrogen Management Practices

Sat Darshan Khalsa, Jessica Rudnick, Stephanie Tatge,
Mark Lubell and Patrick Brown

sdskhalsa@ucdavis.edu
University of California Davis
FREP Conference
October 23rd 2018

Research support from:



Research Project Overview

- To identify what N management practices grower adopt
 - Fertilizer – N budget; Leaf sampling; Split application
 - Soil – Soil sampling; Organic amendments; Cover crops
 - Irrigation – ET scheduling; Plant water stress; Soil sensors; DU
- To relate challenges with adoption of N management practices
 - Tangible – Cost; Labor; Supplies
 - Intangible – Technical knowledge; Efficacy; Uncertainty
- To understand frequency and usefulness of information sources
 - Farm direct – PCA/CCA and Peers/Neighbors
 - Education – UCCE; WQC; NRCS; FREP; Industry associations

Winter 2017 and Spring 2018 Surveys




Spring 2018

**389 growers participated in
in the Colusa Glenn
subwatershed program using
a mail survey**

Winter 2017

**565 growers participated in
the N San Joaquin Valley at
annual Water Quality
Coalition Meetings**


WINTER 2017
CROP TYPE OF RESPONDENTS



Preliminary Results | Winter 2017 Survey


“Do you use any of the following fertilizer, soil or irrigation practices?”

Total number of respondents reporting adoption/ non adoption of each practice




Preliminary Results | Winter 2017 Survey

“Do any of the following challenges hinder, discourage or inhibit your implementation of these practices?”




Preliminary Results | Winter 2017 Survey

“Do any of the following challenges hinder, discourage or inhibit your implementation of these practices?”




Preliminary Results | Winter 2017 Survey

“Do you consider any of the following benefits when making decisions about fertilizer, soil and irrigation practices?”




Preliminary Results | Winter 2017 Survey

“Do you seek information on fertility management practices from any of the following sources?”




Preliminary Results | Spring 2018 Survey

Familiarity Breeds Trust




Preliminary Results | Spring 2018 Survey

Information Availability and Reliability



Winter 2018 Survey




Winter 2018

**531 growers participated in
the S San Joaquin Valley at
annual Water Quality
Coalition Meetings**

Preliminary Results | Winter 2018 Survey

Differences in Water Sources



Preliminary Results | What we have learned so far

- Connection between **irrigation** practices and N management is important and needs more attention
- **Uncertainty** around practices is a significant barrier to adoption that needs to be better understood
- Demand exists for **outreach** and **extension** around N management practices, especially with emphasis on their **on-farm benefits**
- Purpose, extent and goal of **N regulations** are insufficient or not well-communicated leading to **lower reliability**

Acknowledgements

- CDFA FREP
- Parry Klassen – E San Joaquin WQC
- Mike Wackman – SJDC WQC
- Bruce Houdesheldt – Sac Valley WQC
- Kandi Manhart - Colusa Glenn SWP
- John Dickey – SSJV MPEP
- NRSC Conservation Innovation Grant
- Grower Participants

Research support from:



Any Questions?

Sat Darshan Khalsa, Jessica Rudnick, Stephanie Tatge,
Mark Lubell and Patrick Brown

sdskhalsa@ucdavis.edu
University of California Davis
FREP Conference
October 23rd 2018



Research support from:

